KAKISTOCRAT

How the Trump Government Inflicts Cruelty on Children, Elders, and the Most Vulnerable and Destroys a Civilization of Laws

MEL HAAS

KAKISTOCRAT

How the Trump Government Inflicts Cruelty on Children, Elders, and the Most Vulnerable and Destroys a Civilization of Laws

What happens when the worst people gain control of the most powerful government on earth—and turn it against the people it exists to protect?

KAKISTOCRAT presents the evidence: 5,500 children torn from their parents. Sixteen million children losing food assistance. Thirty-seven million children at risk of losing healthcare. Nursing home residents abandoned as $911 billion is stripped from Medicaid. The elderly losing Meals on Wheels, community living support, and Medicare protections—all to finance $4.5 trillion in tax cuts for billionaires.

This is not a partisan argument. It is a moral reckoning. Drawing on government records, court filings, investigative journalism, and the testimony of experts, this book measures the Trump kakistocracy against the worst governments in history—and finds it belongs in their company.

Written for Americans who believe that a civilization is judged by how it treats its most vulnerable.

To the children separated from their parents at the border,

To the elders who lost the Meals on Wheels that kept them alive,

To the nursing home residents abandoned when Medicaid was gutted,

To the families who lost healthcare they could not afford to lose,

To the disabled students whose federal protections were stripped away,

To the refugees whose flights were canceled and whose futures were stolen,

To the veterans made homeless by policies that claimed to honor them,

To the communities poisoned by polluters freed from oversight,

And to all those harmed by a government of the worst,

by the worst, and for the worst.

> *"A government should be judged not by how it treats its most powerful citizens, but by how it treats its most vulnerable."*
> — Adapted from Hubert H. Humphrey

> *"Kakistocracy: government by the worst persons; a form of government in which the worst persons are in power."*
> — Oxford English Dictionary

> *"The measure of a society is found in how they treat their weakest and most helpless citizens."*
> — Jimmy Carter

Preface: Why This Book Now

This book was written in February 2026, thirteen months into Donald Trump's second presidency. It was written because the evidence of kakistocracy—government by the worst and most unscrupulous—had become overwhelming and undeniable.

I have seen governments make mistakes. I have seen corruption and incompetence. But I have never seen a systematic, deliberate assault on the most vulnerable—children, the disabled, the poor, the elderly, refugees—carried out with such cruelty and defended with such shamelessness.

This is not a partisan book in the traditional sense. It is not about Democrats versus Republicans, liberals versus conservatives, or policy preferences. It is about something more fundamental: whether we will tolerate a government that separates toddlers from their parents to send a political message, that cuts food and medicine from sick children and nursing home residents to finance tax breaks for billionaires, that defies court orders and weaponizes law enforcement, and that abandons the foundational principles of equal protection and human dignity.

The chapters that follow are organized by severity of harm. I begin with what I believe is the most morally indefensible act a government can commit: the deliberate infliction of suffering on children. I proceed through the abandonment of the elderly, the gutting of healthcare, the

poisoning of communities, the destruction of civil rights, and the demolition of the rule of law itself.

The term kakistocrat gives us the language to name what is happening. This book provides the evidence.

I write as a citizen, a grandparent, and a witness. I write because silence in the face of documented cruelty is complicity. I write because I am old enough to remember what America was supposed to be—and because I refuse to let what it has become go unrecorded.

And I write because I believe that Americans, when confronted with the full truth of what is being done in their name, will choose civilization over kakistocracy.

PART I

NAMING THE KAKISTOCRACY

Chapter 1
What Kakistocracy Means—and Why It Matters Now

"Kakistocracy" is an old word for a very modern danger. Derived from the Greek kakistos ("worst") and kratos ("rule"), it literally means "government by the worst." The term first appeared in English political discourse in the seventeenth century and has been used by political philosophers and critics to describe regimes where incompetence, corruption, and cruelty are not accidents but organizing principles of power.

This book argues that the Trump government in the United States has become a textbook kakistocracy—and that the clearest, most damning evidence lies in the systematic harm it is inflicting on the American people, particularly the most vulnerable: children, the elderly, the disabled, the poor, and marginalized communities.

It is not merely a matter of bad policy or ideological disagreement. It is a matter of immorality, corruption, cruelty, inhumanity, and illegality.

The Scale of the Evidence

The Trump government's actions, documented through government records, court filings, investigative journalism, policy analysis, and expert testimony, reveal a pattern of deliberate harm against those least able to protect themselves.

Against Children

- Separation of more than 5,500 children from their parents at the border as a deliberate deterrence strategy, in violation of international human rights law.
- Cuts to nutrition assistance (SNAP, WIC) affecting 16 million children, producing increased hunger and malnutrition.
- Medicaid cuts of $911 billion threatening coverage for 37 million children and risking 16,600 preventable deaths.
- Firing 121 of 135 federal special education staff, abandoning 7.5 million disabled children.

- Elimination of Head Start monitoring, threatening 750,000 low-income children's access to early learning.

Against the Elderly

- Dismantling the Administration for Community Living, eliminating the federal hub for aging services, Meals on Wheels coordination, elder abuse prevention, and community-based support for 58 million older adults.
- Medicaid cuts threatening nursing home care for 1.2 million residents, with 63% of nursing home residents dependent on Medicaid for their daily care.
- Delaying federal nursing home staffing standards by nearly a decade—standards that would save an estimated 13,000 lives per year.
- Accelerating Medicare insolvency, triggering up to $500 billion in automatic cuts between 2026 and 2034.
- Flat Medicare Advantage payment rates threatening benefit cuts and higher costs for 35 million seniors and people with disabilities.
- Cutting 1.3 million Medicare beneficiaries from Medicaid coverage of their Medicare costs.

Against Public Health and the Environment

- EPA plans to stop calculating health benefits of pollution reduction, facilitating rollbacks that will cause 200,000 preventable deaths and 100 million asthma attacks.
- 65% proposed cuts to EPA staff, gutting enforcement of clean air and water laws.

- Refusal to update toxic chemical exposure standards even when new science shows much greater cancer risks.

Against Equal Protection and the Rule of Law

- Elimination of disparate impact protections, effectively legalizing systemic discrimination.
- Weaponizing the Department of Justice to attack diversity programs while abandoning traditional civil rights enforcement.
- Documented defiance of at least 11 federal court orders.
- Assertion of 'unitary executive' theory incompatible with constitutional separation of powers.

This is not a neutral policy dispute. It is a sustained attack on the basic conditions necessary for a civilization of laws, peace, and freedom.

Why 'Kakistocracy' Matters

To see this clearly, we need a framework that distinguishes ordinary government dysfunction from systematic rule by the worst. That framework is kakistocracy, and the central figure—the kakistocrat—is our subject.

Kakistocracy is not just incompetence. It is the elevation of incompetence as a governing principle. It is not just corruption. It is the normalization of corruption as the price of loyalty. It is not just cruelty. It is the deployment of cruelty as policy and the celebration of suffering as strength.

Political theorists and historians describe kakistocracy as rule by the least qualified and most unscrupulous leaders—a regime in which loyalty, fanaticism, and willingness to violate norms are rewarded, while competence, integrity, and respect for law and expertise are punished or driven out.

A 2022 analysis in Big Think identified the core traits of historical kakistocracies:

- Objectively unqualified leadership—people 'with no business being in charge' occupy critical positions of power.
- Court of favorites—power gravitates to cronies, relatives, media personalities, and sycophants rather than experts.
- Systemic corruption—public resources are diverted to private gain; enforcement of law becomes selective and political.
- Catastrophic misjudgments—decisions produce disasters that were foreseeable and avoidable with even minimal competence.
- Institutional decay—courts, legislatures, civil services, and oversight agencies are hollowed out, captured, or destroyed.
- Cruelty normalized—vulnerable groups are targeted; suffering is framed as necessary toughness or 'sending a message.'

By every metric—child welfare, elder care, veteran support, public health, environmental protection, civil rights, and rule of law—the Trump administration meets these criteria. Donald Trump's government belongs in the

company of history's worst rulers: those who governed not for the people but against them.

The remainder of this book provides the evidence, organized from the most horrifying acts to the structural conditions that enable them.

Chapter 2
Trump's Court of the Unqualified

In January 2025, as Trump's second-term cabinet took shape, scholars of public administration sounded alarms. Donald F. Kettl of the University of Maryland stated that the "overall lack of policy and management experience among Trump's appointees is unprecedented," warning that prioritizing personal loyalty over technical skill would produce failure when "challenging situations inevitably arise."

Presidential historian Lindsay Chervinsky noted that while individual questionable nominees appear in most administrations, "having so many top appointees with limited qualifications in a modern Cabinet is unusual."

The pattern is clear and systematic: the deliberate construction of a government run by those least suited to govern, and most willing to destroy the agencies they lead.

Robert F. Kennedy Jr.—Secretary of Health and Human Services

Kennedy is an environmental lawyer without a medical degree or public health training. He has promoted vaccine skepticism, COVID-19 conspiracy theories including the claim that COVID-19 is an 'ethnically targeted bioweapon,' and unproven medical treatments.

He now oversees the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, the Food and Drug Administration, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, more than 80,000 employees, and a budget exceeding $1.7 trillion—including every federal program that protects the health of children and the elderly.

Previous HHS Secretaries typically had medical degrees, public health doctorates, or extensive health policy experience. Kennedy has none of these qualifications. Under his leadership, the administration has dismantled the Administration for Community Living, gutted public health research, and overseen the largest cuts to Medicaid in American history.

Pete Hegseth—Secretary of Defense

Hegseth is a former Fox News host whose highest military rank was Major—a position typically managing 60 to 200 personnel. He now oversees 2.8 million active-duty, reserve, and civilian personnel; an $820 billion budget; the nuclear arsenal; and global military operations.

He faces allegations of sexual misconduct and financial mismanagement of veterans' organizations. Defense

experts have called him possibly "the least qualified Secretary of Defense in decades." Previous Defense Secretaries included four-star generals, commanders of U.S. Central Command, and PhDs in nuclear policy.

Matt Gaetz—Attorney General Nominee

Gaetz served briefly in the Florida legislature and U.S. House before being nominated to lead the Department of Justice. He practiced law for only a few years before entering politics. He faced allegations of sexual involvement with a 17-year-old girl, which led to a House Ethics Committee investigation. Compare this to Merrick Garland, who served as a federal appellate judge for 24 years, or Bill Barr, who had served previously as Attorney General under George H.W. Bush.

Other Appointments

- Tulsi Gabbard (Director of National Intelligence): Criticized for sympathetic views toward foreign dictators; limited high-level intelligence experience.
- Kash Patel (FBI Director nominee): Concerns about independence and history of extreme loyalty to Trump over institutional integrity.
- Education Secretary: One year of experience managing public schools, tasked with overseeing 7.5 million disabled students' civil rights.
- Dr. Mehmet Oz (CMS Administrator): A television personality overseeing Medicare and Medicaid for 150 million Americans.

DOGE: Oligarchy Without Accountability

Beyond formal cabinet positions, Trump created an extralegal power center: the so-called "Department of Government Efficiency" (DOGE), led by unelected billionaire Elon Musk.

In February 2025, the administration granted DOGE access to Treasury Department payment records, exposing Americans' bank account information and financial data to a team of unvetted individuals who lack security clearances and congressional oversight. When a federal district court issued a preliminary injunction barring this access as unconstitutional, the administration ignored the order.

This arrangement recalls the role of Rasputin in Nicholas II's court—an unaccountable favorite wielding immense power without formal position or expertise, answerable only to the ruler's personal favor. Representative Kevin Mullin stated: "The Trump Administration is putting the rule of law and the separation of powers established in the Constitution at grave risk."

The Pattern: Selection Against Competence

What unites these appointments is not just lack of qualifications. It is active selection against the qualities that would make someone effective:

- Expertise replaced by ideology—Kennedy's anti-vaccine activism disqualifies him for HHS in the same way climate denial disqualifies someone to lead NOAA.
- Independence replaced by loyalty—Patel and Bondi chosen for willingness to weaponize DOJ, not commitment to impartial justice.
- Experience replaced by celebrity—Hegseth chosen for Fox News visibility, not defense management competence.
- Accountability replaced by favor—Musk granted power without Senate confirmation, congressional oversight, or legal authority.

This is not random incompetence. It is systematic kakistocracy: the deliberate construction of a government designed to fail at protecting people—and succeed at enriching the powerful.

PART II
THE CRUELTIES, RANKED BY HORROR

Chapter 3
Tearing Children from Their Parents

Few policies in American history better capture the intersection of immorality and illegality than the systematic separation of children from their parents. This chapter begins the catalogue of cruelties because the deliberate traumatization of children—used as a tool of political messaging—represents the lowest moral depth a government can reach.

3.1 Zero Tolerance and the Manufacture of Orphans

In April 2018, the Trump administration implemented a "zero tolerance" policy requiring criminal prosecution of all unauthorized border crossers, including asylum seekers fleeing violence and persecution. Because children could not be held in adult criminal detention, the policy effectively mandated family separation.

The numbers are staggering and well-documented: more than 5,500 children separated from parents; at least 700 children under age four taken between October 2017 and April 2018; 1,995 children separated in just six weeks between April and May 2018; and 1,556 additional families discovered separated in later audits.

Government mental health clinicians who evaluated separated children reported they exhibited "fear, feelings of abandonment, and post-traumatic stress," with many "crying inconsolably."

In one documented case, a Honduran father, Marco Antonio Muñoz, committed suicide in his cell after Border

Patrol agents forcibly took his three-year-old son. The American Academy of Pediatrics called family separation 'government-sanctioned child abuse.'

International Law Violations

Amnesty International called the policy "a monstrous policy that flies in the face of human rights." United Nations human rights experts issued an urgent statement warning that the separations caused "irreparable harm" and that using children as deterrents to migration could constitute torture under international law.

A comprehensive 2024 report by Human Rights Watch, the Texas Civil Rights Project, and Yale Law School's Lowenstein International Human Rights Clinic concluded that as many as 1,360 children had never been reunited with parents six years after separation; that the program constituted enforced disappearance under international law; and that it may constitute torture under the Convention Against Torture. The report's title captures the moral clarity: "We Need to Take Away Children": Zero Accountability Six Years After "Zero Tolerance."

3.2 Mass Deportation and the Six Million Children Living in Fear

Trump's second administration has shifted to mass interior enforcement that threatens to separate families on an even larger scale. Average daily immigration detention now exceeds 66,000 people—the highest on record. More than 600,000 people who entered the U.S. as children are

targeted for deportation. Nearly 6 million children live in mixed-status families under the shadow of raids and removal.

In March 2025, ICE began detaining immigrant parents and children together in a Texas detention facility—reversing the Flores settlement that required children to be held in the least restrictive setting. The administration suspended "sensitive locations" policies, now permitting immigration raids at schools, hospitals, and churches where children gather.

When asked what this means for U.S. citizen children whose parents face deportation, officials advised deported parents to "take their American citizen children with them"—effectively encouraging exile of American citizens as young as infancy.

3.3 International Law Violations and Enforced Disappearance

The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has made clear that separation of asylum-seeking children from parents is permissible only if it is in the child's best interests—not as a deterrent or punishment. Trump's policies invert that principle entirely. They victimize children because of their vulnerability, treating trauma as a tool of immigration enforcement.

This is not policy disagreement. It is a violation of international law, domestic court orders, and fundamental

human rights—documented, deliberate, and defended by the administration as effective and necessary.

Chapter 4
Starving Children by Design

Food is the most basic requirement for a child's life, growth, and learning. The Trump government has systematically attacked every major program that prevents child hunger in America—not because the programs fail, but because the money is needed to finance tax cuts for the wealthiest Americans.

4.1 The $200 Billion SNAP Cuts

The "One Big Beautiful Bill Act," signed in July 2025, slashes $186 to $200 billion from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) over ten years—approximately a 20% reduction and the largest cut in the program's history.

SNAP serves approximately 42 million people monthly; 16 million are children—roughly 40% of participants. Harvard School of Public Health research shows SNAP reduces food insecurity by about 30%, particularly among the most food-insecure households. SNAP participation is associated with healthier pregnancies, better child development, improved educational outcomes, and lower healthcare costs. SNAP participants incur roughly $1,400

less in annual medical costs than comparable non-participants.

The Congressional Budget Office projects that new SNAP work requirements—now extended to veterans, people aging out of foster care, homeless individuals, and parents of teenagers—will reduce participation by roughly 2.4 million people monthly between 2025 and 2034. The rhetoric about 'dependency' obscures a simple fact: 40% of SNAP recipients are children. Children cannot 'work their way off' food assistance.

4.2 Turning Food Off "Like a Lightswitch"

During the 43-day government shutdown in late 2025—the longest in American history—the Trump administration allowed SNAP funding to lapse for the first time ever, cutting benefits by 50% rather than using available contingency reserves. Two federal courts later found that the administration not only had the authority but the legal obligation to use those reserves. The administration chose not to comply.

Advocates described the administration as having "turned SNAP on and off like a lightswitch as the lives and budgets of 42 million people—including 16 million kids—hung in the balance." A Pennsylvania food pantry reported demand "unlike anything seen even at the peak of the pandemic." Houston Food Bank's CEO stated flatly: "We cannot meet the gap."

Food banks, where an estimated one-third of patrons are children, cannot replace SNAP. One food bank meal equals roughly nine meals provided by SNAP.

4.3 WIC, School Meals, and the Collapse of Child Nutrition

Simultaneous with SNAP cuts, the administration has undermined the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), farm-to-school grants, and other child nutrition initiatives. The American Friends Service Committee warns that combined SNAP and WIC cuts will leave 7 million mothers and children without food assistance.

The "One Big Beautiful Bill Act" provides $4.5 trillion in tax cuts that primarily benefit the wealthy, adding more than $3 trillion to the deficit. This is not fiscal necessity. It is a moral choice: taking food from poor children to fund tax breaks for billionaires.

4.4 What Hunger Does to a Child

For children, the consequences of food insecurity are well-documented and devastating:

- Increased hunger and malnutrition affecting physical growth and brain development.
- Greater difficulty concentrating and learning in school, producing lifelong educational deficits.
- Long-term impacts on physical and cognitive development that cannot be reversed.

- Higher rates of chronic illness requiring expensive medical care throughout life.
- Increased anxiety, depression, and behavioral problems rooted in the chronic stress of not knowing when the next meal will come.

Food Research & Action Center summarized the consequences: millions will see reduced benefits or lose access entirely, leading to "empty cupboards, missed meals, and impossible choices between food, rent, and medicine."

Chapter 5
Killing the Sick—Medicaid and the Preventable Death Toll

Medicaid is the largest health insurance program in the United States, covering 90 million Americans—including 37 million children, millions of elderly nursing home residents, and tens of millions of disabled and low-income adults. The Trump administration has enacted the largest rollback of federal health coverage in American history.

5.1 $911 Billion in Cuts and 16,600 Preventable Deaths

The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' cuts $911 billion from Medicaid through 2034, according to the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office. The CBO estimates these cuts

will cause 7.6 to 11.8 million people to lose coverage by 2034 and lead to more than 16,600 preventable deaths. Research published in the Annals of Internal Medicine projects that Medicaid cuts could cause thousands of additional deaths annually, with the greatest impact on low-income adults and children in states that have not expanded Medicaid.

For children, the stakes are existential. Medicaid and CHIP cover around 37 million children—40% of all U.S. children, including 77% of children in poverty, 44% of children with special health care needs, 37% of children with cancer, 99% of children in foster care, and 41% of all births.

A May 2025 report from Manatt Health warns that hundreds of thousands of children are likely to lose coverage as cuts and financing changes take effect.

5.2 Children's Hospitals in Crisis

The Children's Hospital Association warns that pediatric health systems collectively stand to lose billions of dollars in revenue, forcing closures of pediatric units and entire hospitals.

Robert Meyer, CEO of Phoenix Children's hospital system, where about half of revenue comes from Medicaid, stated: "The reduction cannot be achieved without directly affecting coverage and care for Arizona's kids, especially the most vulnerable among them." Meyer warned that

without reversing the cuts, Phoenix Children's would face closures.

A pediatric intensive care physician wrote in STAT News: "The funding structure and existence of pediatric health care is wholly dependent on Medicaid—and proposed Medicaid cuts will topple this house of cards. We know that when children lose coverage, children and families are more likely to delay care, to seek care in an emergency department, and to die in the hospital."

5.3 The Rural Healthcare Collapse

Rural hospitals, which disproportionately serve Medicaid patients and operate on thin margins, face acute risk. Estimates project up to half a million healthcare job losses, concentrated in rural and underserved areas.

For rural children and elderly residents, this means longer travel times to emergency care, fewer pediatricians and specialists, reduced access to prenatal and maternal health services, and higher rates of preventable complications and deaths.

A 2025 Families USA report found that maternal mortality rates are 35% higher in states without Medicaid expansion. Cutting Medicaid now will undo gains in maternal and infant health and cost mothers' and infants' lives.

5.4 The Political Lie: "We're Protecting the Vulnerable"

House Speaker Mike Johnson insisted that cuts would affect "only nondisabled adults enrolled in the program who don't work and immigrants living in the U.S. without legal status." This is false on multiple levels.

First, children cannot be isolated from systemic cuts. When Medicaid reimbursement rates decline, pediatric specialists close practices, children's hospitals cut services, and community health centers shut down. Coverage for children becomes meaningless if there are no providers to accept it.

Second, work requirements cascade to children. When parents lose coverage due to work requirements or administrative barriers, their children often lose coverage too.

Third, state budget cuts affect all beneficiaries. Caps on provider taxes and restrictions on state-directed payments force states to reduce eligibility, cut benefits, or lower reimbursement rates that affect all Medicaid populations—including children, the elderly, and the disabled.

Chapter 6
Abandoning the Elderly

The Trump administration's assault on elderly Americans is among its most cynical betrayals. Trump repeatedly

promised to 'love and cherish' Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security. Over thirteen months, his administration has done the exact opposite—dismantling the programs, agencies, and protections that keep elderly Americans fed, housed, healthy, and safe. For the 1.2 million Americans living in nursing homes, for the millions more aging at home with the help of federal programs, and for the 35 million seniors enrolled in Medicare Advantage, the kakistocracy has produced a cascade of harm that will shorten lives, increase suffering, and force vulnerable elders into institutions against their will.

6.1 Medicaid: The Lifeline Nursing Homes Depend On

Medicaid is the largest payer of nursing home care in the United States. On any given day, 63% of the nation's 1.2 million nursing home residents depend on Medicaid to pay for their care. In many states, the percentage is even higher. The reason is straightforward: nursing home care costs between $80,000 and $120,000 per year. Medicare covers only short-term rehabilitative stays. Private long-term care insurance is rare and expensive. Most Americans who enter nursing homes exhaust their savings and 'spend down' to Medicaid eligibility within months.

The $911 billion in Medicaid cuts enacted by the 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' will devastate this system. The Center for Medicare Advocacy warns that federal cuts of hundreds of billions of dollars will inevitably force states to cut eligibility, cut services, or cut provider payments—and

likely all three—shifting the costs of nursing home care to states and to poor residents and their families. Many facilities will close, eliminating this long-term care option for people who are unable to live independently.

A specific provision in the bill stops implementation of a rule that reduced barriers to enrollment in Medicare Savings Programs, which help low-income Medicare beneficiaries pay Medicare premiums and cost-sharing. The Congressional Budget Office estimated that loss of this rule alone would mean that 1.3 million Medicare beneficiaries would lose Medicaid coverage of their Medicare costs. Most nursing home residents are dually eligible for both Medicare and Medicaid.

AARP's Lauren Ryan called the delay in nursing home protections 'damaging and devastating for many residents.' Sam Brooks, director of public policy at the National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care, stated: 'The overall bill and its huge cuts to Medicaid is really going to reshape how nursing home and other long-term care is provided in this country—and not for the better.'

6.2 Destroying the Administration for Community Living

In March 2025, the Trump administration dismantled the Administration for Community Living (ACL)—the federal agency that coordinated virtually all non-Medicaid support for older adults and people with disabilities living in their

communities. ACL oversaw Meals on Wheels, adult day care, area agencies on aging, fall prevention programs, elder abuse prevention, respite care for family caregivers, centers for independent living, and the RAISE Act initiative to develop a national strategy for supporting family caregivers.

About half of ACL's 200 staff were laid off, including most of the agency's leadership, policy staff, budget staff, and regional offices. The remaining programs were scattered across three different HHS offices with no dedicated focus on aging or disability.

Alison Barkoff, who previously led ACL, stated: "This is part of a larger offensive against older adults. ACL functions as a central hub for programs that aid older adults and those with disabilities." The Urban Institute warned that dismantling ACL "will make it more difficult and costly for communities across the US to serve disabled people and older adults and will put residents at risk of institutionalization and food insecurity."

The Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program—which protects the health and safety of individuals living in nursing homes and other long-term care facilities—was among the programs eliminated. For the 1.2 million Americans in nursing homes, this means losing their primary federal advocate against abuse, neglect, and rights violations.

Representative Bobby Scott stated: "Millions of Americans rely on ACL's services to live independently,

participate fully in their community, and avoid costly institutional care. The Trump Administration's proposal to eliminate ACL will put the health and well-being of older Americans and people with disabilities in jeopardy."

6.3 Medicare Under Siege: Insolvency by Design

The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' accelerates the timeline for Medicare's Hospital Insurance Trust Fund to become insolvent. If Congress takes no additional action, automatic spending cuts—known as sequestration—will be triggered, reducing Medicare funding by approximately $500 billion between 2026 and 2034. The Center for Medicare Advocacy describes this as 'the largest rollback of federal support for health care in American history.'

Simultaneously, the Trump administration's Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, overseen by television personality Dr. Mehmet Oz, announced a Medicare Advantage payment rate increase of just 0.09% for 2027—far below rising medical costs. The health insurance industry immediately warned that seniors would face benefit cuts and higher costs.

Chris Bond, spokesperson for America's Health Insurance Plans, stated: "Flat program funding at a time of sharply rising medical costs and high utilization of care will impact seniors' coverage. If finalized, this proposal could result in benefit cuts and higher costs for 35 million seniors

and people with disabilities when they renew their Medicare Advantage coverage in October 2026."

Major insurers are already retreating. UnitedHealthcare projects a loss of over 1.1 million Medicare Advantage enrollees. Elevance Health anticipates declines in the 'high teens percentage range.' When health insurers exit markets, seniors must find new plans—which may not include their doctors, hospitals, or medications. For elderly Americans managing complex chronic conditions, forced plan changes can be medically dangerous.

6.4 Meals on Wheels, SNAP for Seniors, and Engineered Hunger

The dismantling of ACL directly threatens Meals on Wheels and other nutrition programs for homebound elderly Americans. These are not luxuries. For millions of seniors who cannot drive, cannot cook, and have no family nearby, Meals on Wheels is their primary source of daily nutrition and their only regular human contact.

SNAP cuts compound the crisis. The Congressional Budget Office estimates that about 1.3 million people over 65 will lose their Medicaid coverage under the budget plan by 2034. A Senate report documented that seniors and people with disabilities would see an immediate drop in their average daily SNAP benefit from $6.20 to $5.00 per day.

Additional programs threatened include the Emergency Food Assistance Program (commodity purchases for food

banks), the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (monthly food packages for low-income seniors), and the Senior Farmers' Market Nutrition Program.

The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), which helps elderly and disabled Americans pay heating and cooling bills, has seen haphazard terminations of funding distributions—the sole federal program designed to prevent seniors from freezing in winter or dying of heat in summer.

6.5 Social Security: Slow Strangulation

While Trump promised not to cut Social Security, the administration's actions tell a different story. Nearly 71 million Americans receive Social Security benefits. The administration has initiated operational changes that affect access to services, including office closures, staff reductions, and longer wait times that disproportionately burden elderly and disabled applicants.

The "One Big Beautiful Bill Act" includes provisions that Forbes described as amounting to a 21% effective cut to Social Security through indirect mechanisms. The Center for American Progress (CAP) documented plans to covertly cut Social Security disability benefits by changing qualification rules to make it harder for disabled Americans to receive benefits they have earned.

For elderly Americans living on fixed incomes—the median Social Security benefit is approximately $1,900 per month—any reduction in benefits or access to services can

mean the difference between housing and homelessness, nutrition and hunger, medical care and suffering.

6.6 Nursing Home Staffing Delayed, Residents Dying

In 2024, the federal government finalized minimum staffing standards for nursing homes—the first such national standards in history. Research by the University of Pennsylvania estimated these standards would save approximately 13,000 lives per year by ensuring adequate numbers of nurses and aides to provide basic care.

The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' delays implementation of these standards until October 2034—nearly a decade. During that decade, based on the University of Pennsylvania research, approximately 130,000 nursing home residents will die preventable deaths from understaffing.

Chronic understaffing in nursing homes leads to: residents left in soiled clothing for hours; missed medications; untreated bedsores that become life-threatening infections; falls without assistance; inadequate nutrition and hydration; and residents dying alone without anyone to notice for hours.

The bill also drops the "80/20" rule, which mandated that 80% of Medicaid payments for home and community-based services go to compensating direct care workers. Rescinding this rule will reduce wages for the aides who provide the most intimate and essential care to elderly and

disabled Americans—workers whose wages are already so low that many rely on Medicaid themselves.

The Institutional Trap

The University of Pennsylvania's Leonard Davis Institute warns that Medicaid cuts will force more Americans into nursing homes by reducing access to home and community-based services. States facing federal funding cuts will have limited options: nursing home benefits are a required part of Medicaid and cannot be eliminated, but states can reduce enrollment in home and community-based services, cut covered services, and reduce payment rates for home care.

Professor Alison Hoffman of the University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School stated: "If we were designing Medicaid now, with what we know, we would make home- and community-based health services a mandatory benefit rather than an optional one. Then people could get their care in the setting that works best."

The cruel irony is unmistakable: the same administration that dismantled the Administration for Community Living—the agency that helped people stay in their homes—is now cutting the funding that enables home-based care, forcing more Americans into the very nursing homes whose staffing standards it has delayed for a decade. The result is a system designed to institutionalize the elderly in understaffed facilities. This is not policy. It is warehousing.

Chapter 7
The War on Disabled Children and Adults

7.1 Firing 121 of 135 Special Education Staff

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is a federal civil rights law that guarantees a 'free appropriate public education' to children with disabilities. Before IDEA's passage in 1975, only one in five disabled students attended school; many were institutionalized or kept at home. Today, 7.5 million children with disabilities receive services, more than 500,000 pre-kindergarten children are served, and 400,000 infants and toddlers with disabilities receive early intervention.

During the government shutdown, the Trump administration fired 121 of 135 staff at the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS)—the division that oversees IDEA implementation. The National Education Association reports that the administration has fired 'nearly every employee who supports special education.'

Tom Zembar, NEA policy analyst, explained: "The structure to ensure the rights and protections of students with disabilities and their families is ruptured without the federal role. While grant funds flow to states, there will be no additional federal resources given to states to replicate

the monitoring, oversight, and enforcement activities conducted by the Office of Special Education Programs and the Office for Civil Rights."

The administration's goal is to convert IDEA funding into block grants with little oversight. This eliminates the legal requirement that states spend money on federally mandated services, removes accountability, and shifts decisions to states with widely varying commitment to disability rights. Senator Patty Murray stated: 'No one is forcing Donald Trump to fire the people who make sure students with disabilities can get a good education—he just wants to.'

7.2 The Texas Warning: What Happens Without Federal Oversight

When the federal government stepped back in Texas in 2017, the state imposed an arbitrary cap limiting special education services to 8.5% of enrollment. The cap denied services to thousands of children with autism, ADHD, epilepsy, learning disabilities, and speech impairments. It took federal intervention—the exact monitoring and enforcement capacity Trump has now dismantled—to force Texas to lift the cap.

Senator Ed Markey highlighted Natalie, a middle school student with Dup15q syndrome requiring 24-hour caregiving support. Her school system pays $18 million annually for out-of-district placements and receives $1.7 million from IDEA funding plus $500,000 in Medicaid. 'If

this federal funding is jeopardized,' Markey said, 'the school, Natalie and her peers, their educators, and their families, will have no idea how to continue providing the level of education that these students not only deserve, but also are legally entitled to.'

Multiply Natalie's case by 7.5 million children across the country, and the scale of abandonment becomes clear.

7.3 Forced Institutionalization and the Reversal of Olmstead

In Olmstead v. L.C. (1999), the Supreme Court held that the Americans with Disabilities Act requires states to provide services in the most integrated setting appropriate—typically the community rather than institutions. The decision recognized that unnecessary institutionalization is itself a form of discrimination.

The July 2025 Executive Order seeks to undermine Olmstead by weakening protections against forced institutionalization, promoting 'civil commitment' as a response to homelessness, directing federal funds away from community services toward institutional settings, and framing institutions and jails as 'humane' alternatives.

Vietnam Veterans Against the War published a scathing analysis: "The narrative of shifting homeless individuals into long-term institutional settings through civil commitment is both inhumane, illegal, and unsupported by the research." A Senate report on Trump's first 100 days noted: "President Trump does not have the authority to

upend civil rights laws, but these actions are alarming and threaten the lives of 61 million Americans with disabilities."

Re-institutionalization is not compassion. It is warehousing people out of sight at higher public cost while denying them the autonomy and community integration that federal law guarantees.

Chapter 8
Poisoning the Air, Water, and Earth

8.1 200,000 Preventable Deaths from Pollution Rollbacks

The Environmental Protection Agency was created in 1970 with a clear mandate: protect public health and the environment. The Trump administration plans to cut EPA by 65%, eliminating as many as 10,000 of EPA's 15,000 employees.

Three former EPA Administrators—William K. Reilly (George H.W. Bush), Christine Todd Whitman (George W. Bush), and Gina McCarthy (Barack Obama)—wrote jointly: "As former E.P.A. heads under both Republican and Democratic administrations, we fear that such cuts would render the agency incapable of protecting Americans from grave threats in our air, water and land."

Environmental Protection Network, an organization of former EPA officials, warns that the administration's plans to roll back tailpipe and smokestack standards will lead to

100 million asthma attacks and cause 200,000 premature deaths that could be avoided if standards remain in place. The elderly and children are the most vulnerable to air pollution—their lungs and immune systems least able to withstand toxic particulate matter.

8.2 The Decision to Stop Counting Dead Americans

In January 2026, the EPA announced it would no longer calculate the monetary benefits of saving lives and improving health by curbing fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and ozone—two of the deadliest air pollutants. This change is designed to facilitate rollbacks of anti-pollution rules by making it impossible to demonstrate that health benefits exceed compliance costs.

Public Citizen's climate director stated: "This unconscionable decision by the EPA should be called out for what it really is—a favor to corporate interests at the expense of the environment and public health. EPA's decision is not only shocking—it's illegal and violates the Supreme Court's instruction that the government cannot stack the deck to benefit polluters."

The decision to stop calculating health benefits does not mean the deaths will not occur. It means the administration has decided not to count them. This is the accounting trick of a kakistocracy: if you stop measuring the bodies, you can pretend nobody died.

8.3 Cancer Alley and the Communities Sacrifice Zones

Ethylene oxide is a toxic chemical that causes cancer, reproductive harm, and other serious health effects. EPA determined that nearly half a million Americans were exposed to unacceptable cancer risks from ethylene oxide emissions. In 2024, EPA revised rules to reduce exposed residents from 90,000 to 3,000.

The Trump EPA began reconsidering whether it has the legal authority to conduct updated risk reviews—arguing that once a rule has been updated, the agency may lack authority to update it again. If adopted, this position would leave the EPA unable to respond to new evidence of toxicity, even when that evidence shows widespread cancer risk.

Independent researchers in Louisiana's "Cancer Alley"—an 85-mile industrial corridor—found ethylene oxide concentrations far higher than companies reported. Kimberly Terrell of the Environmental Integrity Project stated: "The whole premise of risk assessment is that it's based on the best available science. Blocking these updates pretty much ensures the EPA is underestimating the risks."

For communities in Cancer Alley—predominantly Black, predominantly poor—this means living next to facilities that cause cancer while the federal government declares itself powerless to act.

Chapter 9
The War on Veterans

9.1 Housing First—Abandoned

The United States made remarkable progress reducing veteran homelessness by 55% between 2009 and 2024, primarily through housing vouchers and Housing First—an evidence-based approach that provides stable housing before requiring sobriety, employment, or treatment compliance.

In July 2025, Trump issued an Executive Order that seeks to weaken protections against forced institutionalization, directs federal programs to abandon Housing First approaches, and rewards communities that center arrest and incarceration rather than housing and services.

Among unhoused veterans, the disability rate is 55%. One in three has a mental health disability. One in three has a physical disability. One in six has a chronic health condition. These are Americans who became disabled serving their country.

9.2 50% Cuts to Rental Assistance

The administration is pushing for 50% cuts to federal rental assistance programs, including Housing Choice Vouchers (Section 8), and 70% cuts to HUD's Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity. Disability-based housing

discrimination now makes up more than half of fair housing complaints. Gutting enforcement leaves disabled veterans without recourse.

Nationally, hundreds of thousands of veterans stand to lose food assistance and healthcare. The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' eliminated a provision that made it easier for veterans and unhoused people to access SNAP benefits.

9.3 Criminalizing Homelessness Instead of Ending It

Evidence shows the criminalization approach fails catastrophically: a person incarcerated once is nearly 7 times more likely to experience homelessness; for those incarcerated more than once, 13 times more likely. Saddling people with criminal records does not make it easier to secure employment and housing—it creates a permanent underclass cycling between streets, jails, and emergency rooms.

The Michigan League for Public Policy concludes: "The Trump administration's war on homeless people will have a particular impact on disabled people and veterans. That the president and those propping him up would take freedom away from those who have defended ours—many of whom became disabled in service to our country—is unconscionable."

Chapter 10
Destroying Civil Rights

10.1 Eliminating Disparate Impact Protections

For decades, civil rights law recognized that discrimination operates through facially neutral policies that produce discriminatory effects—disparate impact. The Supreme Court repeatedly upheld this doctrine. In December 2025, the Trump DOJ formally rescinded disparate impact regulations, claiming they constitute 'reverse discrimination.'

The problem is simple: most discrimination in 2026 is not explicit. It operates through hiring algorithms, zoning laws, standardized tests, and bureaucratic procedures. Without disparate impact liability, these practices are effectively legal as long as the discriminator does not admit intent. This recalls the post-Reconstruction era, when Southern states used facially neutral literacy tests and poll taxes to disenfranchise Black voters. It took nearly a century and the Voting Rights Act to overcome that system. Trump is recreating that dynamic across all areas of civil rights law.

10.2 Weaponizing the DOJ Against Diversity

The Justice Department is now investigating and suing organizations that use diversity, equity, and inclusion programs. A DOJ spokesperson stated: "The DEI insanity that took hold of our country has led to blatant, widespread race and sex discrimination in violation of federal law." The department has launched dozens of investigations into corporations, universities, and state governments.

Jen Swedish, former DOJ Civil Rights Division attorney, explained: "We're seeing a Civil Rights Division that's really acting on the president's notion that civil rights laws have harmed White people." More than 5,000 DOJ employees have departed in the first year, many citing politicization. In one emblematic case, prosecutors were told they would not play a role in investigating a fatal shooting of a Black woman by a federal immigration officer.

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights characterized the executive orders as "an unprecedented attempt to reverse the fundamental meaning of civil rights in America and aims to redefine equal opportunity programs as discrimination."

10.3 LGBTQ+ Americans Under Siege

The administration has banned all transgender people from military service, withdrawn Title IX protections for transgender students, eliminated healthcare nondiscrimination protections for LGBTQ+ people, and

instructed federal agencies to define sex as binary and assigned at birth—erasing legal recognition of transgender identity.

The cumulative effect is to treat LGBTQ+ people, and particularly transgender Americans, as second-class citizens unworthy of equal protection under the law.

Chapter 11
Economic Cruelty: $4.5 Trillion for Billionaires

11.1 The Moral Mathematics of the 'One Big Beautiful Bill'

The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' provides $4.5 trillion in tax cuts over ten years, primarily benefiting the wealthy and corporations, adding more than $3 trillion to the federal deficit. It is financed through $200 billion in SNAP cuts, $911 billion in Medicaid cuts, and reductions to special education, Head Start, housing assistance, and other safety net programs.

This is redistribution from the poor to the rich. The beneficiaries are billionaires receiving massive estate and income tax reductions, corporations receiving lower rates, and high-income earners benefiting from capital gains preferences. Those who pay are 16 million children losing SNAP benefits, 37 million children at risk of losing Medicaid, 7.5 million disabled students losing protections,

1.2 million nursing home residents losing care quality, and millions of elderly losing Medicare protections and community support.

11.2 The Housing Crisis and the War on the Homeless

On a single night in January 2024, 771,480 people experienced homelessness—the largest number since data collection began and a 19% increase since 2007. Nearly all populations reached record levels: families with children, individuals, people with chronic homelessness, unsheltered people, and unaccompanied youth.

Rather than expanding housing, the administration promotes arrest and incarceration of homeless individuals, forced institutionalization, and elimination of sensitive location protections. It has proposed 50% cuts to rental assistance and 70% cuts to fair housing enforcement—even as housing discrimination reaches record levels.

11.3 The Child Tax Credit Inversion

The 2021 fully refundable Child Tax Credit briefly cut child poverty nearly in half and kept 2.9 million people out of poverty. The Trump version inverts this: the credit phases in only for households earning at least $2,500, is not fully refundable, and excludes the poorest families entirely. Columbia University found that approximately 19 million children are ineligible for the full credit.

Head Start, serving 750,000 low-income children, faces elimination under Project 2025. The administration has already shuttered regional HHS offices that monitored program quality, cut 20% of Office of Head Start staff, and canceled research on evidence-based reading instruction and early childhood development.

Project 2025 lists 'protect our children' as a main goal. Yet it proposes changes that would increase child poverty and homelessness. This is the defining feature of kakistocracy: saying one thing while systematically doing the opposite.

PART III

LAWLESSNESS AND HISTORICAL JUDGMENT

Chapter 12
Defying Courts and Destroying the Rule of Law

12.1 Eleven Court Orders Defied

In March 2025, a coalition of House Democrats introduced a resolution documenting at least 11 court orders that the Trump administration has either threatened to ignore or is not fully complying with. The resolution stated: 'The Trump Administration's unconstitutional

actions are undermining the nation's foundational system of checks and balances, impacting the safety and security of all Americans.'

When government officials systematically refuse to comply with judicial orders, the entire constitutional architecture collapses. Courts have no enforcement mechanism beyond the executive branch's willingness to comply. When the executive refuses, the only remedy is impeachment—which requires a Congress willing to act. Trump has tested this system and found it wanting.

12.2 DOGE: An Oligarch in the Treasury

The most emblematic example involves Elon Musk's DOGE. The administration granted DOGE access to Treasury Department payment records, exposing Americans' bank account information to unvetted individuals lacking security clearances. On February 21, 2025, a federal court granted a preliminary injunction barring this access. The administration ignored the order and continued access.

Federal courts have also issued orders requiring restoration of asylum protections, compliance with the Flores settlement on child detention, reunification of separated families, and restoration of SNAP contingency funding. The administration has defied or delayed compliance with each.

12.3 The Politicization of Justice

After Watergate, the United States established norms ensuring DOJ independence from White House political control. The Brennan Center for Justice concludes that Project 2025 aims to dismantle these norms, directing DOJ to pursue political enemies and shield allies, recasting prosecutorial discretion as a tool of presidential will, and weaponizing civil rights enforcement against diversity programs while abandoning enforcement for traditional violations.

Trump signed two executive orders instructing the attorney general to investigate his predecessor's administration and has openly promised retribution against political opponents, journalists, and judges. More than 5,000 DOJ employees have departed, many citing politicization.

Project 2025's legal architecture rests on an extreme 'Unitary Executive Theory' claiming the President holds plenary power over the executive branch, that congressional and judicial checks must be narrowly interpreted, and that independent agencies are unconstitutional. Legal scholars note this theory 'runs contrary to separation of powers principles both as set forth in the text of the Constitution and court decisions.'

The Brookings Institution's Democracy Playbook 2025 states: 'Rule of law is under threat in the U.S. as never before in modern times. Critical checks and balances and citizen trust in government weaken when elected officials prioritize personal vendettas or political gain over public good.'

Chapter 13
Global Cruelty—Refugees, Climate, and 200,000 Dead Children

13.1 Closing the Lifeboats: The Refugee Ban

On January 20, 2025, the first day of Trump's second term, the administration indefinitely suspended the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program. Flights for more than 10,000 thoroughly vetted refugees were canceled overnight. Afghan allies who risked their lives supporting U.S. military missions were stranded in danger.

In October 2025, the administration set the refugee admission cap at 7,500—the historic low—compared to 125,000 set for fiscal years 2022–2025. The policy prioritizes white Afrikaners allegedly facing discrimination in South Africa over refugees from war zones, genocide, and climate disasters.

On February 26, 2025, the administration ended federal contracts with resettlement agencies nationwide, dismantling infrastructure that had helped refugees integrate into American communities for decades. Subsequent restrictions ended food assistance for newly arrived refugees, paused green card processing, expanded travel bans to 19 countries, and launched operations to re-review and potentially terminate the protection of previously vetted refugees.

For children fleeing war, these decisions are death sentences. Refugee children denied resettlement face recruitment by armed groups, child labor and trafficking, chronic malnutrition, permanent family separation, and lost education.

13.2 Climate Abandonment and the Burning Earth

On inauguration day, Trump signed an executive order withdrawing from the Paris Agreement and halting all climate financing. In March 2025, the United States withdrew from the Climate Loss and Damage Fund created to compensate developing countries affected by global warming—countries that contributed virtually nothing to the crisis.

The administration cut the vast majority of global climate aid funding intended to help countries 'in the crosshairs of climate change despite doing virtually nothing to cause it.' Even though no other country has played a larger role in causing climate change, the U.S. under Trump has abandoned financing for the most vulnerable populations.

Climate change disproportionately harms children through heat stress, water scarcity, food insecurity, expanded disease ranges, and displacement. The U.S. withdrawal ensures these harms will accelerate.

13.3 The First Rise in Child Mortality This Century

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation warns that a steep drop in global health aid in 2025, led by U.S. cuts, will cause the first increase in under-five child mortality this century. An estimated 200,000 additional children will die in 2025 compared with 2024. If cuts become permanent, 12 to 16 million more children could die by 2045.

These are children who will die from vaccine-preventable diseases, malaria, malnutrition, diarrheal diseases, and complications from treatable conditions. The administration has frozen international development aid, withdrawn from international health organizations, and defunded maternal and child health services globally.

This is not America First. This is America Alone—abandoning the international cooperation and humanitarian leadership that once defined American global engagement, and leaving the world's most vulnerable children to suffer and die.

Chapter 14
Historical Comparisons—Where Trump Belongs

14.1 Nicholas II: The Court of Favorites

Nicholas II (1894–1917) inherited the Russian Empire but was 'utterly unprepared' to rule. His court became a 'madhouse run by favorites' like Grigori Rasputin, a mystic with no governmental experience who wielded extraordinary influence over imperial policy. Nicholas was so detached that he continued playing tennis after learning the Russian Baltic Fleet had been annihilated by Japan.

When confronted with the 1905 Revolution, his uncle reportedly held a gun to his own head and threatened suicide before the Tsar would agree to grant a constitution. His ideological inflexibility contributed directly to revolution, the execution of his family, and the collapse of the 300-year Romanov dynasty.

Trump parallels: Elon Musk wielding Treasury access without accountability, unqualified cabinet appointees chosen for loyalty, dismissal of expert advice, ideological rigidity producing humanitarian crises, and defiance of legal constraints.

14.2 James Buchanan: Lethal Incompetence

Ranked the worst U.S. president in nearly every historical survey, Buchanan (1857–1861) combined corruption, ideological paralysis, and catastrophic misreading of the national crisis. A congressional investigation found his administration the most corrupt in American history up to that time. He fumbled the Kansas-

Nebraska Act question so badly that it split the Democratic Party and set the stage for the Civil War.

Most damningly, he believed that both secession and federal intervention to stop it were illegal—and therefore did nothing as the Union fractured. On his deathbed, Buchanan remarked that history would vindicate him. It did not.

Trump parallels: unprecedented corruption, claiming to respect law while defying court orders, pursuing policies that majorities oppose (cutting SNAP, Medicaid, dismantling Education), and producing institutional fracture through polarization and destruction of norms.

14.3 Modern Kakistocrats and Failed States

Political scientists have used 'kakistocracy' to describe post-Soviet regimes marked by falsified elections, usurpation of power, impoverishment of populations, state capture by oligarchs, and selection against competence. These describe governments where 'the talented are repelled' and 'the unscrupulous are attracted.'

Comparisons extend to Viktor Orbán (Hungary), who captured courts, media, and civil service; Jair Bolsonaro (Brazil), who undermined institutions and promoted conspiracy theories; and Rodrigo Duterte (Philippines), who normalized extrajudicial violence and cronyism. These leaders share elections that maintain the appearance of democracy while systematically undermining its substance.

Trump's distinction: unlike Orbán, Bolsonaro, or Duterte, Trump leads the world's most powerful country. The consequences of his kakistocracy are therefore global in scale—measured in refugee children denied safety, developing nations denied climate aid, and the collapse of international norms that once protected the vulnerable.

PART IV
MORAL RECKONING

Chapter 15
The Five Crimes of Kakistocracy

The cumulative record of the Trump government reveals five interlocking qualities that define kakistocracy. Each is documented throughout this book. Here they are distilled to their essence.

15.1 Immorality

Immorality is choosing to harm the vulnerable when other choices are available.

On family separation: knowing that separating toddlers from parents causes severe trauma and violates international human rights norms, yet proceeding because deterrence was deemed more important than children's wellbeing.

On nutrition and healthcare: knowing that cutting SNAP, WIC, and Medicaid will increase child hunger, malnutrition,

and mortality—and that alternative choices exist—yet choosing to cut programs that feed and heal children to finance tax breaks for the wealthy.

On the elderly: knowing that dismantling the Administration for Community Living will leave millions of homebound seniors without meals, abuse protection, and community support—yet proceeding to scatter these programs across agencies with no mandate to serve the aging.

On special education: knowing that firing federal oversight staff will leave 7.5 million disabled children vulnerable and that history shows what happens without enforcement—yet proceeding with mass layoffs during a government shutdown to maximize chaos.

On climate and refugees: knowing that withdrawing from climate commitments will increase deaths of children in vulnerable countries and that suspending refugee admissions will leave children in camps and war zones—yet treating these lives as politically expendable.

15.2 Corruption

Corruption is using public power for private gain while claiming to serve the public good.

The $4.5 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy, financed by cuts to children's food, elderly healthcare, and disabled students' education, is the largest transfer of public resources to private wealth in American history. It is

dressed in the language of fiscal responsibility while adding $3 trillion to the national deficit.

Elon Musk's DOGE, an unelected billionaire granted access to Treasury payment records and the power to dismantle government agencies, represents oligarchic capture of state power. Musk's companies hold billions in government contracts. His authority is exercised without Senate confirmation, congressional oversight, or legal accountability.

Transparency International ranked U.S. corruption at its worst level in nearly a decade under Trump. The emoluments violations, political interference in contracting, and weaponized pardons are symptoms of a government that has been privatized by those who profit from public dysfunction.

15.3 Cruelty

Cruelty is the infliction of suffering as an end in itself, justified as toughness or necessity.

The zero tolerance policy was designed to make family separation visible—to ensure that the suffering of children would serve as a deterrent to other families. The cruelty was not a side effect. It was the mechanism.

Cutting SNAP during a government shutdown when contingency funds were available—and when courts ordered their use—was a choice to maximize hunger. Turning food off 'like a lightswitch' for 42 million people,

including 16 million children, was an exercise of power for its own sake.

Delaying nursing home staffing standards for a decade, when research shows those standards would save 13,000 lives per year, is a decision to let 130,000 elderly Americans die preventable deaths so that nursing home corporations can avoid hiring adequate staff.

Criminalizing homelessness while cutting housing assistance—making it 7 to 13 times more likely that incarcerated people will be homeless again—is cruelty that compounds itself. The homeless veteran is arrested, given a criminal record, and then denied housing because of that record. The cycle is the point.

15.4 Inhumanity

Inhumanity is the refusal to recognize the suffering of others as morally significant.

The EPA's decision to stop calculating the health benefits of pollution reduction is a bureaucratic act of inhumanity. It does not prevent 200,000 deaths. It prevents those deaths from appearing in cost-benefit analyses. The dead become administratively invisible.

The Gates Foundation projects that 200,000 additional children will die in 2025 from cuts to global health aid—and 12 to 16 million more by 2045 if cuts become permanent. The administration's response has been silence.

The 1,360 children never reunited with their parents after zero tolerance—children who experienced enforced

disappearance under international law—are not mentioned in administration briefings. Their suffering has been administratively erased.

The dismantling of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program means that nursing home residents who are abused, neglected, or denied their rights have lost their primary federal advocate. Their complaints will go unheard. Their suffering will go undocumented. In a kakistocracy, the invisible are the most convenient victims.

15.5 Illegality

Illegality is the systematic violation of law by those entrusted to uphold it.

Defying at least 11 federal court orders—including orders to restore asylum protections, reunify families, maintain SNAP funding, and bar DOGE from Treasury records—is not a policy disagreement. It is contempt for the constitutional order.

The 2024 Human Rights Watch report concluded that family separation constituted enforced disappearance under international law and may constitute torture. The U.S. is party to the Refugee Convention, the Convention Against Torture, and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Trump's policies violate each.

The Unitary Executive Theory asserted by Project 2025's architects claims presidential power that the Constitution does not grant and court decisions do not support. Its goal, as the Poverty & Race Research Action Council

documents, is to make the United States a Christian nationalist state through concentrated executive authority that bypasses Congress, courts, and the Constitution itself.

When the executive defies courts, violates treaties, and asserts unlimited power, the rule of law is not merely weakened. It ceases to exist. What remains is the rule of the ruler—which is the definition of tyranny.

Chapter 16
What a Civilization Owes Its Children and Its Elders

Every civilization that endures rests on an unwritten compact between generations. The young are protected because they cannot protect themselves. The old are honored because they have earned the right to dignity. The able provide for the vulnerable because vulnerability is universal—everyone begins life helpless, and most end it the same way.

This compact is not a modern invention. It is as old as organized human society. The Code of Hammurabi, nearly four thousand years old, contained provisions for the protection of widows and orphans. The Hebrew Bible commands: 'Do not move an ancient boundary stone set up by your ancestors.' Confucius taught that filial piety—care for elders—is the foundation of a just society. The Roman Republic, for all its brutality, maintained the

alimenta system to feed poor children. The Enlightenment philosophers who inspired the American founding held that government's legitimacy derives from its capacity to secure the welfare and rights of those it governs.

What distinguishes civilization from mere power is this recognition: that the measure of a society is not its wealth, its military strength, or its technological achievement, but how it treats those who cannot advocate for themselves.

The Trump kakistocracy has broken this compact systematically. It takes food from hungry children to finance tax reductions for billionaires. It strips healthcare from sick children and nursing home residents to pay for corporate tax breaks. It fires the federal employees who protect disabled children's right to education. It dismantles the agency that coordinates Meals on Wheels for homebound elders. It delays nursing home staffing standards that would save 13,000 lives per year. It accelerates Medicare insolvency. It separates toddlers from their parents and loses them in the system.

These are not policy disagreements. They are moral failures of the most fundamental kind.

A civilization that abandons its children has no future. A civilization that discards its elders has no honor. A civilization that does both simultaneously, to enrich those who already possess more wealth than they could spend in a thousand lifetimes, is a civilization in the process of destroying itself.

The evidence in this book is not presented to provoke despair. It is presented because the first step toward repair is documentation. We cannot fix what we refuse to see. We cannot resist what we cannot name.

Kakistocracy is the name. The evidence is in these pages. The choice—between civilization and its opposite—belongs to every American who reads them.

Chapter 17
Choosing Between Civilization and Kakistocracy

This book has documented a government that separates children from their parents and calls it law enforcement. That cuts food from the hungry and calls it fiscal responsibility. That strips healthcare from the sick and calls it reform. That abandons the elderly to understaffed institutions and calls it efficiency. That poisons the air and water and calls it deregulation. That defies courts and calls it executive authority. That enriches billionaires and calls it the American dream.

These are not failures of a well-meaning administration that has lost its way. They are the deliberate actions of a kakistocracy—government by the worst, for the worst, operating in the interests of a narrow oligarchic class at the expense of everyone else.

The historical record is clear. Kakistocracies do not reform themselves. Nicholas II did not wake up one morning and choose competent advisors. Buchanan did not suddenly find the courage to act. Post-Soviet kleptocracies did not spontaneously develop rule of law. In every case, change came from outside the ruling circle: from citizens who refused to accept that cruelty was normal, that corruption was inevitable, and that the suffering of the vulnerable was an acceptable price for the comfort of the powerful.

The United States is not yet a failed state. Its institutions, though battered, still function in many domains. Its courts, though defied, still issue orders. Its press, though attacked, still reports. Its citizens, though exhausted, still vote. The question is whether these remaining structures of democratic governance are strong enough—and whether enough Americans care enough—to reverse the descent into kakistocracy before it becomes irreversible.

That question cannot be answered in a book. It can only be answered by Americans who choose to answer it—in their communities, in their families, in their conversations, in their votes, and in their willingness to bear witness to what is being done in their name.

This book is one citizen's attempt at witness. It is offered to every American who believes, as I do, that a civilization is measured by how it treats those who cannot fight for themselves—and that the children separated at the border, the elders dying in understaffed nursing

homes, the disabled students abandoned by their government, the veterans sleeping on streets, and the communities poisoned by unregulated polluters deserve better than kakistocracy.

They deserve civilization. And it is ours to build, or to lose.

Acknowledgments

This book could not have been written without the investigative journalists, policy analysts, legal scholars, medical professionals, and advocacy organizations whose work is cited throughout these pages. Their commitment to documenting truth in a time of organized deception is among the most important forms of public service in American life.

I am grateful to the residents and staff of Riderwood and continuing care communities across the nation who have shown that aging does not diminish the obligation to bear witness.

I owe particular thanks to the developers of Perplexity AI, whose research tools made it possible for an 90-year-old citizen to access, synthesize, and verify the evidence presented here.

Finally, I thank my family—the generations before me who built the country I inherited, and the generations after me who will inherit what we leave. This book is written for them.

Bibliography

The following sources are cited throughout this book. They represent government records, court filings, investigative journalism, policy analysis, advocacy organization research, and expert testimony. All sources were accessible as of February 2026.

• • •

[1] Oxford English Dictionary. "Kakistocracy." Oxford University Press.

[2] Amnesty International. "USA: Policy of Separating Children from Parents is Nothing Short of Torture." Amnesty International, 2018.

[3] American Immigration Lawyers Association. "Family Separation and Zero Tolerance Policy." AILA, 2018–2024.

[4] U.S. Government Accountability Office. "Unaccompanied Children: Agency Efforts to Reunify Children Separated from Parents at the Border." GAO, 2019–2024. See also American Academy of Pediatrics statements on family separation.

[5] Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health. "SNAP and Food Security: Evidence Review." Harvard University, 2024–2025.

[6] Food Research & Action Center. "SNAP Funding During Government Shutdown: Legal Analysis and Impact Assessment." FRAC, 2025.

[7] Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. "SNAP Cuts in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act: Analysis and Impact." CBPP, 2025.

[8] Manatt Health / Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health. "Impact of Medicaid Cuts on Children's Health Coverage." May 2025.

[9] Children's Hospital Association / Congressional Budget Office. "Medicaid Cuts and Pediatric Healthcare: Impact Analysis." 2025. See also Annals of Internal Medicine projections on preventable deaths.

[10] STAT News. "Pediatric Healthcare and Medicaid: A House of Cards." 2025. See also CBO estimates on coverage losses.

[11] National Education Association. "Special Education Staff Firings and IDEA Implementation." NEA, 2025.

[12] Education Week. "Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services: Staff Reductions." 2025.

[13] Michigan League for Public Policy. "The Trump Administration's War on Homeless People: Impact on Veterans and Disabled Americans." 2025.

[14] National Alliance to End Homelessness. "Housing First Evidence Base and Federal Policy Changes." 2025.

[15] U.S. Department of Justice. "Rescission of Disparate Impact Regulations." December 2025.

[16] NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund. "Analysis of DOJ Disparate Impact Rescission." 2025.

[17] New York Times. "EPA to Stop Calculating Health Benefits of Reducing Air Pollution." January 2026.

[18] Environmental Protection Network. "Impact of EPA Staff Cuts and Regulatory Rollbacks." 2025–2026.

[19] ProPublica / Environmental Integrity Project. "Ethylene Oxide Risk Reviews and Cancer Alley Monitoring." October 2025.

[20] Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights. "Executive Orders on Civil Rights: Analysis." 2025.

[21] U.S. House of Representatives. "Resolution Documenting Defiance of Court Orders." March 2025.

[22] Brennan Center for Justice. "Project 2025 and the Politicization of the Department of Justice." 2024–2025.

[23] Poverty & Race Research Action Council. "The Unitary Executive Theory and Project 2025." 2024.

[24] Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. "Political Legitimacy and Government by the Worst." Various editions.

[25] Big Think. "What Is a Kakistocracy? Historical Examples of Government by the Worst." 2022.

[26] Associated Press / University of Maryland (Donald F. Kettl). "Analysis of Trump Second-Term Cabinet Qualifications." January 2025.

[27] Various sources on cabinet nominees. See AP, Reuters, New York Times coverage of Hegseth, Kennedy, Gaetz nominations, 2024–2025.

[28] Figes, Orlando. A People's Tragedy: The Russian Revolution 1891–1924. Penguin Books, 1996.

[29] Transparency International. "Corruption Perceptions Index: United States." Various years.

[30] Political science literature on post-Soviet kakistocracies. See Journal of Democracy, Demokratizatsiya, and related publications.

[31] United Nations Human Rights Experts. "Urgent Statement on Family Separation at U.S. Border." UN OHCHR, 2018.

[32] Human Rights Watch / Texas Civil Rights Project / Yale Law School. "We Need to Take Away Children: Zero Accountability Six Years After Zero Tolerance." 2024.

[33] U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Detention statistics, 2025–2026. See also Migration Policy Institute analysis.

[34] Migration Policy Institute. "DACA Recipients and Interior Enforcement Under Trump Second Term." 2025.

[35] American Immigration Council. "Mixed-Status Families and Sensitive Locations Policies." 2025.

[36] UN Committee on the Rights of the Child. "General Comment on Article 9: Family Separation." Various years.

[37] Congressional Budget Office. "SNAP Work Requirements: Projected Impact on Participation." 2025.

[38] Food Research & Action Center. "Analysis of CBO Findings on SNAP Cuts." 2025.

[39] Feeding America. "Map the Meal Gap: Child Food Insecurity in America." 2024–2025.

[40] American Academy of Pediatrics. "Policy Statement on Food Insecurity and Child Health." Various years.

[41] American Friends Service Committee. "Impact of Combined SNAP and WIC Cuts." 2025.

[42] First Focus on Children. "Project 2025 and Its Impact on Children: Analysis." 2024–2025.

[43] Congressional Budget Office. "One Big Beautiful Bill Act: Cost Estimate and Deficit Impact." July 2025.

[44] Families USA. "Medicaid Expansion and Maternal Mortality." 2025.

[45] New America. "Education Department Staffing Cuts and Impact on Early Childhood Programs." 2025.

[46] U.S. Department of Education. Workforce reduction announcements, March 2025.

[47] Education Week. "IDEA Block Grant Proposal and Impact on Students with Disabilities." 2025.

[48] U.S. Senate. Testimony of Senator Ed Markey on IDEA funding. 2025.

[49] National Head Start Association. "Head Start Funding and Program Impact Data." 2025.

[50] Columbia University Center on Poverty and Social Policy. "Child Tax Credit Changes Under the One Big Beautiful Bill Act." 2025.

[51] Urban Institute. "2021 Child Tax Credit Impact Analysis and Comparison to 2025 Changes." 2025.

[52] U.S. Department of Justice. "Statements by Attorney General Bondi and Assistant AG Dhillon on Disparate Impact." December 2025.

[53] CBS News / Justice Connection. "DOJ Civil Rights Division: Departures and Policy Changes." 2025–2026.

[54] Vietnam Veterans Against the War / Veterans for Peace. "Analysis of Trump Executive Order on Homelessness." 2025. See also HUD Annual Homelessness Assessment Report, January 2024.

[55] Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581 (1999). See also U.S. Senate report on Trump's first 100 days and disability rights.

[56] Three former EPA Administrators (Reilly, Whitman, McCarthy). Joint statement on EPA cuts, 2025.

[57] Environmental Protection Agency. Announcement on air pollution cost-benefit methodology changes, January 2026.

[58] Public Citizen. "Statement on EPA Decision to Stop Calculating Health Benefits of Pollution Reduction." January 2026.

[59] Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights. "Executive Orders Tracker: Civil Rights Impact." 2025.

[60] American Civil Liberties Union. "Analysis of Executive Orders Targeting LGBTQ+ Rights." 2025.

[61] U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. "Annual Homelessness Assessment Report." January 2024.

[62] Tax Policy Center. "Distributional Analysis of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act." 2025.

[63] International Rescue Committee. "U.S. Refugee Policy Under the Second Trump Administration." 2025–2026.

[64] Refugees International / UNHCR. "Timeline of U.S. Refugee Policy Changes, January 2025–February 2026."

[65] U.S. Department of State. "Presidential Determination on Refugee Admissions for Fiscal Year 2026."

[66] Various international sources on Paris Agreement withdrawal and Loss and Damage Fund. See UN Framework Convention on Climate Change documentation.

[67] Executive Order on withdrawal from Paris Agreement, January 20, 2025.

[68] Grist. "The U.S. Has Given Up on Climate." 2025.

[69] Various analyses of Western climate policy impact on developing nations.

[70] Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. "Goalkeepers Report 2025: Global Health Aid Cuts and Child Mortality."

[71] Brookings Institution. "Democracy Playbook 2025: Rule of Law Under Threat."

[72] UN Committee on the Rights of the Child. Reports on U.S. compliance.

[73] U.S. treaty obligations under the 1951 Refugee Convention and 1967 Protocol.

[74] Massie, Robert K. Nicholas and Alexandra. Atheneum, 1967.

[75] Transparency International. "Corruption Perceptions Index: United States, 2017–2025."

[76] AARP. "How the One Big Beautiful Bill Affects Nursing Homes." July 2025.

[77] Center for Medicare Advocacy. "Nursing Home Residents Will Suffer if Proposed Tax Bill Becomes Law." May 2025.

[78] Center for Medicare Advocacy. "Impact of the Big Bill on Medicare." July 2025.

[79] Forbes / Howard Gleckman. "Trump Abolishes the Office That Supports Many Seniors and People with Disabilities." March 2025.

[80] Urban Institute. "Sweeping HHS Cuts Will Put Disabled and Older Americans' Right to Live in Their Communities at Risk." April 2025.

[81] University of Pennsylvania Leonard Davis Institute. "How Medicaid Cuts Could Force Millions Into Nursing Homes." July 2025.

[82] U.S. Senate Finance Committee. "Republicans to Terminate Health Care for Millions of Older Americans." 2025.

[83] Forbes / Bruce Japsen. "Trump's Flat Medicare Advantage Rate May Harm Seniors' Choices." February 2026.

[84] Kiplinger. "What Trump Has Done With Social Security So Far." January 2026.

[85] U.S. Senate (Kaine et al.). "Trump's First 100 Days: Harming Seniors and People with Disabilities." April 2025.

[86] KFF. "What to Know About the Older Americans Act and the Services It Provides." August 2025.

[87] American Progress. "The Trump Administration's Plans to Covertly Cut Social Security Disability Benefits." October 2025.

[88] National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care. Statements on One Big Beautiful Bill Act, 2025.

This book is dedicated to the public domain for non-commercial educational use. Archived permanently at archive.org for public access.